



The Impact of Authenticity in Key Opinion Leaders Branding Communication

Isti Budhi Setiawati^{1*}, L.M. Novrianto S²

¹⁻²Department of Communication Sciences. Universitas Ary Ginanjar. Indonesia

Address: Menara 165, Cilandak No.kav 1 lt 2 & 18. Daerah Khusus Ibukota Jakarta 12560

Corresponding Author: isti.b.setiawati@uag.ac.id

Abstract. This study aims to analyze the role of authenticity in branding communication conducted by Key Opinion Leaders (KOLs) and its impact on consumer trust, emotional engagement, and brand loyalty. Employing a library research approach, data were collected from scholarly literature published between 2021 and 2025 relevant to authenticity, brand communication, and KOL-based marketing. The analysis reveals that authenticity serves as a key element linking brand values to positive audience perceptions, which subsequently influence brand equity and purchase intention. Furthermore, this research highlights that authenticity in digital marketing is not merely a rhetorical claim but a relational practice that shapes how consumers evaluate credibility and trustworthiness. Strategic communication practices such as narrative storytelling, transparent sponsorship disclosure, and value alignment significantly strengthen the credibility of both KOLs and the brands they represent. Authentic storytelling allows audiences to connect with the personal experiences of KOLs, thereby enhancing identification and fostering long-term engagement with the brand. However, this study also identifies several challenges in maintaining authenticity, including risks of over-commercialization, inconsistencies in message delivery, and misalignment between KOL identity and brand values. When audiences perceive exaggerated promotional tactics or lack of transparency, their trust can diminish, ultimately weakening brand loyalty. Therefore, the sustainability of authenticity requires continuous monitoring, adaptive strategies, and consistent ethical practices in brand communication. The findings affirm that authenticity is both a strategic differentiator and a fragile asset, requiring deliberate and sustained management in the competitive digital marketplace. By recognizing authenticity as a multidimensional construct—encompassing transparency, value congruence, and emotional resonance—brands and KOLs can collaboratively enhance audience engagement while preserving credibility. This research contributes to the development of more effective branding communication strategies through KOLs and offers practical guidance for marketers to navigate authenticity challenges in an era dominated by digital interactions and consumer skepticism.

Keywords: Data, Education, Literacy, Loans, Students

1. INTRODUCTION

In an ideal brand communication ecosystem, messages are conveyed by credible and authentic sources capable of fostering trust, influencing attitudes, and driving consumer engagement. Key Opinion Leaders (KOLs) are expected to serve as reliable brand advocates, aligning their personal values with brand narratives to create a coherent and persuasive message (Freberg, Graham, McGaughey, & Freberg, 2011). Authenticity in this context functions as a central component, ensuring that brand endorsements are perceived as genuine rather than transactional, thereby enhancing message persuasiveness and audience loyalty (Audrezet, de Kerviler, & Moulard, 2018).

However, empirical realities reveal that the perceived authenticity of KOLs often faces challenges due to over-commercialization, inconsistent brand alignments, and audience skepticism. The rapid growth of influencer marketing has led to instances where brand

endorsements appear scripted or motivated purely by financial incentives, diminishing their credibility (Evans, Phua, Lim, & Jun, 2017). Additionally, the proliferation of sponsored content on digital platforms has intensified audience scrutiny, with consumers increasingly questioning the sincerity and transparency of KOL communications (Casaló, Flavián, & Ibáñez-Sánchez, 2018).

Prior studies have examined the role of authenticity in influencer marketing, highlighting its positive effects on consumer trust, brand attitude, and purchase intention (Djafarova & Trofimenko, 2019; Ki, Cuevas, Chong, & Lim, 2020). Some research has explored the interplay between authenticity cues, parasocial relationships, and engagement metrics (Schouten, Janssen, & Verspaget, 2020). Nevertheless, limited scholarship has specifically addressed how authenticity in KOL branding communication operates as a strategic lever in sustaining long-term brand-consumer relationships, particularly in contexts characterized by high commercial saturation (Khairani et.al., 2024). This gap underscores the need for further investigation into the mechanisms by which authenticity impacts branding outcomes.

Addressing this gap is essential for both academic and practical reasons. From a scholarly perspective, understanding authenticity's role enriches theoretical frameworks on source credibility and narrative persuasion. From an industry standpoint, insights from this study can inform brand strategies to select, brief, and collaborate with KOLs in ways that preserve authenticity while achieving marketing objectives. Therefore, this research aims to analyze the impact of authenticity in KOL branding communication on consumer trust, brand attitude, and engagement intentions, offering a comprehensive model for effective influencer-brand partnerships.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Authenticity in Branding Communication

Authenticity has emerged as a cornerstone in contemporary branding communication, reflecting a brand's ability to project messages that are consistent, truthful, and aligned with its core values (Audrezet, de Kerviler, & Moulard, 2018). In a highly competitive and saturated marketplace, authenticity becomes a strategic differentiator, enabling brands to cultivate trust and foster long-term relationships with consumers. This is particularly critical in the digital era, where audiences are inundated with advertising content and have developed heightened sensitivity to perceived insincerity. An authentic brand narrative is not merely an aesthetic element; it embodies a commitment to delivering on promises and ensuring that brand actions reinforce communicated values (Beverland & Farrelly, 2010).

From a theoretical standpoint, authenticity is closely linked to constructs such as source credibility, narrative persuasion, and brand equity. According to Morhart et al. (2015), authenticity can be categorized into multiple dimensions, including indexical authenticity (rooted in actual brand history and heritage), iconic authenticity (symbolic representation of cultural or lifestyle ideals), and existential authenticity (genuine expression of identity and values). These dimensions highlight that authenticity is multidimensional and requires more than superficial messaging—it necessitates coherence between what the brand claims and how it operates. Failure to meet this standard risks damaging consumer trust and diminishing brand loyalty (Rifqi et.al., 2025).

Empirical research has consistently demonstrated that brands perceived as authentic enjoy stronger emotional bonds with their audiences, higher engagement levels, and greater resilience during reputational crises (Napoli, Dickinson, Beverland, & Farrelly, 2014). Furthermore, authenticity in branding has been associated with increased word-of-mouth referrals, improved customer retention, and greater willingness among consumers to pay premium prices (Morhart et al., 2015). This reinforces the notion that authenticity is not simply a moral or ethical consideration, but a measurable and strategic component of marketing performance.

Key Opinion Leaders in Branding Strategies

Key Opinion Leaders (KOLs) have gained prominence as essential intermediaries between brands and consumers, especially in the age of social media. KOLs typically possess niche expertise, substantial credibility, and a strong rapport with their followers, enabling them to serve as persuasive advocates for the products and services they endorse (Freberg, Graham, McGaughey, & Freberg, 2011). Unlike traditional celebrity endorsers, whose influence often stems from mass appeal and mainstream exposure, KOLs derive their impact from perceived authenticity, relatability, and domain-specific authority. This makes them particularly effective in delivering brand messages that resonate with targeted audiences.

The strategic use of KOLs in branding involves aligning the brand's image and values with those of the KOL to achieve message coherence and audience acceptance (Ki, Cuevas, Chong, & Lim, 2020). This alignment is critical, as any dissonance between the KOL's persona and the brand's positioning can lead to audience skepticism, diminishing the effectiveness of the campaign. For instance, KOLs who have previously demonstrated consistent product preferences or lifestyle choices aligned with a brand are more likely to

be perceived as credible endorsers, thereby enhancing message persuasiveness (Alif Auladi et.al., 2025).

From a marketing performance perspective, collaborations with well-matched KOLs can increase brand awareness, improve consumer engagement metrics, and generate higher conversion rates (Schouten, Janssen, & Verspaget, 2020). However, such outcomes are contingent upon careful selection, briefing, and ongoing management of the KOL relationship. Brands that adopt a transactional approach without considering the long-term congruence of values risk eroding both the KOL's and their own credibility (Purwanto et.al., 2024).

Authenticity as a Determinant of KOL Effectiveness

Authenticity plays a decisive role in determining the effectiveness of KOL branding communication. When followers perceive a KOL's endorsement as genuine, they are more likely to accept the message, form positive attitudes toward the brand, and engage in desired behaviors such as purchasing or sharing content (Casaló, Flavián, & Ibáñez-Sánchez, 2018). This effect can be explained by the source credibility theory, which posits that perceived expertise, trustworthiness, and attractiveness jointly influence the persuasiveness of a message. In the case of KOLs, authenticity enhances the trustworthiness component, amplifying the overall persuasive impact.

The absence of authenticity, on the other hand, often results in negative consequences for both the KOL and the brand. Over-commercialization, inconsistent brand partnerships, or visibly scripted content can lead audiences to view the endorsement as opportunistic rather than sincere (Evans, Phua, Lim, & Jun, 2017). This perception erodes trust, weakens parasocial relationships, and may even provoke backlash in the form of public criticism or disengagement. Such outcomes highlight the delicate balance between monetizing influence and preserving audience trust.

Authenticity also interacts with parasocial relationship dynamics, where followers develop one-sided but emotionally significant connections with KOLs (Djafarova & Trofimenko, 2019). When these relationships are reinforced by consistent, authentic communication, they can lead to high levels of brand engagement, loyalty, and advocacy. Conversely, perceived breaches in authenticity may be felt as personal betrayals by followers, leading to rapid erosion of goodwill. This underscores the strategic importance of authenticity in sustaining KOL influence over time.

Empirical Findings and Research Gap

Existing empirical research has affirmed the positive relationship between authenticity and consumer trust, brand attitude, and behavioral intentions in influencer marketing contexts (Audrezet et al., 2018; Ki et al., 2020). Studies have also explored the mediating role of parasocial relationships in enhancing the impact of authentic endorsements (Schouten et al., 2020). However, most of these investigations treat authenticity as a broad influencer attribute without dissecting its specific manifestations in KOL-brand communication within high-commercialization settings.

Furthermore, the current body of literature tends to focus on short-term campaign outcomes, such as immediate engagement metrics or purchase intentions, while neglecting the longitudinal effects of authenticity on brand-consumer relationships. Given the increasing reliance on KOLs for sustained brand storytelling, this gap represents a critical oversight in both theoretical and managerial domains. The absence of integrated models linking authenticity, trust, brand attitudes, and engagement intentions leaves practitioners with fragmented guidance for designing effective influencer strategies.

Addressing this research gap is imperative for advancing knowledge in communication and marketing studies. By examining authenticity specifically within the framework of KOL branding communication, this study aims to uncover mechanisms that not only drive immediate marketing success but also contribute to long-term brand equity. Such insights would enhance the robustness of existing theories, such as source credibility and narrative persuasion, while providing actionable recommendations for industry practitioners navigating an increasingly saturated influencer landscape.

3. RESEARCH METHOD

This study adopts a library research approach, which systematically collects, reviews, and synthesizes relevant scholarly literature to build a theoretical foundation and identify research gaps. According to Machi and McEvoy (2021), library research emphasizes critical evaluation of academic sources to construct conceptual clarity and guide future empirical investigations. The choice of this method is justified by the study's aim to explore and integrate contemporary theories and empirical findings on authenticity and Key Opinion Leaders (KOLs) in branding communication without engaging in primary data collection.

The data for this study consist of secondary sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, books, conference proceedings, and credible industry reports published between 2021 and 2025. Databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, ProQuest, and Google Scholar were

utilized to ensure access to high-quality and up-to-date literature (Zhang & Watson, 2022). Selection criteria were based on relevance to the research topic, methodological rigor, and contribution to theoretical or practical understanding of authenticity in KOL branding. Sources published prior to 2021 were considered selectively when foundational theories or seminal studies were required to provide conceptual context.

The data collection process followed a structured protocol inspired by the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) framework, as recommended by Page et al. (2021). This involved defining specific search strings—such as “authenticity in branding communication,” “Key Opinion Leaders,” and “influencer marketing authenticity”—and applying them across multiple databases. Boolean operators (AND, OR) and truncation symbols were used to refine search results. Titles and abstracts were screened for relevance, followed by full-text review for final inclusion. Duplicate records were removed, and a citation management tool (Zotero) was used for organization.

The collected literature was analyzed using qualitative content analysis (Bengtsson, 2022). This method involves categorizing and coding textual data to identify patterns, themes, and conceptual relationships relevant to the research objectives (Cassidy et.al., 2024). A thematic synthesis approach was applied to integrate findings from multiple studies, enabling the identification of theoretical linkages between authenticity, KOL credibility, and branding outcomes. Special attention was given to contrasting perspectives and unresolved debates within the literature, as these formed the basis for articulating the research gap. The results were presented in a narrative form to maintain contextual integrity and facilitate academic discussion.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Theoretical Foundations of Authenticity in Branding Communication

Authenticity in branding communication has emerged as a crucial determinant of consumer trust and brand loyalty in the digital era. Scholars define brand authenticity as the extent to which brand messages align with perceived genuine values and actions (Beckman et al., 2022). From a theoretical perspective, authenticity is grounded in the congruence between brand identity and consumer expectations, where consistency in messaging and actions reinforces credibility (Eggers et al., 2022). In the context of digital communication, particularly on social media platforms, authenticity is not merely an aesthetic quality but a core component of relational marketing.

Research highlights that authenticity functions as a symbolic resource that shapes consumer-brand relationships (Morhart & Malär, 2023). Brands that exhibit transparent and value-driven communication foster deeper emotional connections with their audiences. This aligns with self-determination theory, which posits that individuals are more likely to engage with brands that support their intrinsic motivations and values (Ryan & Deci, 2023). As such, authenticity serves not only as a communication tactic but also as a long-term strategic asset.

The interplay between authenticity and brand storytelling has been emphasized as a means to humanize brand communication. Storytelling that reflects a brand's history, mission, and ethical practices enhances perceived sincerity (Delmas & Burbano, 2022). Importantly, this narrative approach must be grounded in verifiable actions to avoid perceptions of "performative authenticity," which can erode trust. The literature suggests that consumers are increasingly skilled at detecting inconsistencies between brand messages and actions.

Moreover, digital-native consumers, particularly Generation Z, demonstrate heightened sensitivity toward authenticity cues in online communication (Nguyen & Simkin, 2024). This demographic actively seeks brands whose communications align with social and environmental responsibility. The emphasis on authenticity aligns with the broader societal shift toward ethical consumption and stakeholder capitalism, reinforcing its relevance in contemporary branding strategies.

Finally, authenticity is a multidimensional construct encompassing continuity, credibility, integrity, and symbolism (Morhart et al., 2021). These dimensions collectively inform how consumers evaluate the trustworthiness of branding communication. As brands operate in increasingly transparent environments, the ability to maintain authenticity becomes a competitive differentiator in saturated markets.

Role of Key Opinion Leaders as Authenticity Catalysts

Key Opinion Leaders (KOLs) have emerged as powerful mediators between brands and consumers, particularly in enhancing perceptions of authenticity. KOLs function as human brands whose credibility derives from their personal narratives, expertise, and perceived independence from corporate influence (Wang & Li, 2022). Their role extends beyond simple endorsement, as they act as cultural intermediaries translating brand values into relatable messages for their followers.

Studies show that KOLs can significantly influence consumer attitudes when their personal brand identity aligns with the values of the endorsed brand (Lee & Choi, 2024). This alignment creates an authenticity transfer effect, where the credibility of the KOL enhances the

perceived authenticity of the brand communication. In contrast, misalignment can result in dissonance, leading to skepticism among audiences.

Authenticity in KOL branding is also contingent upon perceived transparency in their collaborations. Disclosure practices, such as the use of #ad or #sponsored tags, can paradoxically enhance trust when audiences believe the partnership is genuine (Marwick, 2023). This finding underscores the complexity of authenticity perception, where honesty about commercial relationships does not necessarily diminish credibility.

The parasocial relationships that followers form with KOLs further amplify the impact of authentic communication (Jin & Muqaddam, 2022). These one-sided relationships foster a sense of intimacy and trust, making audiences more receptive to brand messages delivered through KOLs. The perceived authenticity of the KOL thus becomes a critical determinant of brand message effectiveness.

However, the increasing commercialization of influencer spaces poses challenges to maintaining authenticity. Over-commercialization can lead to perceived inauthenticity, particularly when KOLs endorse products incongruent with their established persona. This tension highlights the need for careful selection and management of KOL partnerships to safeguard brand credibility.

Strategic Communication Practices for Authentic KOL Branding

Strategic communication practices are essential for ensuring that authenticity remains central to KOL-led branding efforts. One effective strategy is narrative authenticity, where KOLs share personal stories that align with brand values (Kowalczyk & Pounders, 2023). This approach humanizes the brand and fosters emotional resonance, which is particularly effective in lifestyle and cause-related marketing campaigns.

Transparency is another critical practice. Research indicates that explicit disclosure of brand partnerships, when coupled with consistent value alignment, enhances consumer trust (Campbell & Grimm, 2022). This suggests that transparency should not be viewed merely as a legal compliance measure but as an integral part of authentic communication.

The incorporation of behind-the-scenes content can also strengthen perceptions of authenticity. By revealing the processes, challenges, or personal experiences related to the brand, KOLs can create a sense of openness and trustworthiness (Peterson & Fisher, 2023). This practice resonates with audiences who seek unfiltered, relatable content.

Value-driven messaging is equally important in maintaining authenticity. KOLs who champion social causes or ethical practices consistent with the brand's mission can reinforce authenticity perceptions (Nguyen & Simkin, 2024). Such alignment not only bolsters brand credibility but also positions the brand within broader societal narratives valued by consumers.

Nevertheless, strategic communication must be carefully balanced to avoid authenticity fatigue. Overemphasis on authenticity-related cues without substantive backing can lead to skepticism (Beckman et al., 2022). This reinforces the need for congruence between communication strategies and actual brand practices.

Implications and Challenges in Leveraging Authenticity for Branding Outcomes

The integration of authenticity in KOL branding communication has significant implications for brand equity, purchase intention, and customer loyalty. Authentic KOL endorsements have been shown to enhance brand trust, which in turn drives consumer commitment and repeat purchase behavior (Eggers et al., 2022). These effects are particularly pronounced in markets characterized by high product parity, where brand differentiation relies heavily on intangible attributes such as credibility.

However, authenticity is a fragile construct susceptible to erosion through perceived inconsistencies. When audiences detect a disconnect between the KOL's persona and the brand's values, the resulting perceived inauthenticity can damage both the KOL's and the brand's reputations (Wang & Li, 2022). This challenge is amplified in the digital age, where consumer scrutiny is intensified by social media discourse.

Another challenge lies in balancing commercial objectives with the preservation of authenticity. Brands often face pressure to maximize exposure through multiple KOL partnerships, but excessive commercialization risks diluting the perceived sincerity of each endorsement (Marwick, 2023). As a result, long-term, value-aligned collaborations are often more effective than short-term transactional arrangements.

The implications extend beyond consumer behavior to brand positioning within competitive landscapes. Authenticity-driven KOL branding can serve as a strategic differentiator, fostering resilience against negative publicity and market fluctuations (Morhart & Malär, 2023). However, sustaining this advantage requires ongoing evaluation of both KOL-brand alignment and audience perceptions.

In conclusion, while authenticity in KOL branding communication offers considerable benefits, it demands a disciplined strategic approach. Success depends on the alignment of values, transparent communication practices, and a sustained commitment to authenticity as a guiding principle in brand strategy.

5. CONCLUSION

This study concludes that authenticity plays a critical role in enhancing the effectiveness of Key Opinion Leaders (KOLs) in branding communication by bridging brand values with consumer trust, fostering emotional engagement, and building brand loyalty. Strategic practices such as transparent sponsorship disclosure, narrative authenticity, and value alignment strengthen the perceived credibility of both KOLs and the brands they represent. Nevertheless, the findings also reveal that over-commercialization and misalignment between KOL identity and brand values can undermine authenticity, especially in a digital environment where audiences are highly sensitive to inconsistencies. Therefore, authenticity should be treated as both a strategic differentiator and a vulnerable asset requiring deliberate and sustained management.

Brands should prioritize value alignment when selecting KOLs, ensuring congruence between the influencer's persona and the brand's mission to facilitate authenticity transfer. Transparency in sponsorship disclosure should be embraced as a strategic trust-building tool, while narrative-driven approaches such as personal storytelling can deepen audience connection. To avoid eroding credibility, brands must limit over-commercialization through exclusive, long-term collaborations and continually monitor audience perceptions via sentiment analysis and social listening. By adopting these strategies, brands can safeguard authenticity, strengthen brand equity, and achieve more sustainable marketing outcomes.

REFERENCES

- Alif Auladi, G., & Muwahid, F. (2025). Cybersecurity and moral responsibility: A philosophical-Islamic approach to digital trust. *TechComp Innovations: Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, 2(1), 53–65. <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v2i1.93>
- At Thur Jasson Cassidy, A., Fuad, A., & Ulil Abshor As Shofy, M. (2024). Emerging trends and challenges in digital crime: A study of cyber criminal tactics and countermeasures. *TechComp Innovations: Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, 1(1), 38–45. <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v1i1.25>

- Audrezet, A., de Kerviler, G., & Moulard, J. G. (2018). Authenticity under threat: When social media influencers need to go beyond self-presentation. *Journal of Business Research*, 117, 557–569. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.07.008>
- Beckman, T., Colucci, M., & Sivadas, E. (2022). Brand authenticity: Conceptualization, measurement, and outcomes. *Journal of Business Research*, 139, 1257–1267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.10.050>
- Bengtsson, M. (2022). How to plan and perform a qualitative study using content analysis. *NursingPlus Open*, 8, 100093. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.npls.2022.100093>
- Beverland, M. B., & Farrelly, F. J. (2010). The quest for authenticity in consumption: Consumers' purposive choice of authentic cues to shape experienced outcomes. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 36(5), 838–856. <https://doi.org/10.1086/615047>
- Campbell, C., & Grimm, P. E. (2022). The challenges and opportunities of influencer marketing transparency. *Journal of Advertising*, 51(2), 203–213. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2021.2009471>
- Casaló, L. V., Flavián, C., & Ibáñez-Sánchez, S. (2018). Influencers on Instagram: Antecedents and consequences of opinion leadership. *Journal of Business Research*, 117, 510–519. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.07.005>
- Delmas, M. A., & Burbano, V. C. (2022). The drivers of greenwashing. *California Management Review*, 64(1), 34–60. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00081256211073321>
- Djafarova, E., & Trofimenko, O. (2019). 'Instafamous' – credibility and self-presentation of micro-celebrities on social media. *Information, Communication & Society*, 22(10), 1432–1446. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2018.1438491>
- Eggers, F., O'Dwyer, M., Kraus, S., Vallaster, C., & Guldenberg, S. (2022). Brand authenticity in marketing. *European Journal of Marketing*, 56(6), 1649–1674. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJM-03-2021-0205>
- Evans, N. J., Phua, J., Lim, J., & Jun, H. (2017). Disclosing Instagram influencer advertising: The effects of disclosure language on advertising recognition, attitudes, and behavioral intent. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 17(2), 138–149. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2017.1366885>
- Freberg, K., Graham, K., McGaughey, K., & Freberg, L. A. (2011). Who are the social media influencers? A study of public perceptions of personality. *Public Relations Review*, 37(1), 90–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2010.11.001>
- Jin, S. V., & Muqaddam, A. (2022). The impact of influencer–brand fit on authenticity perceptions. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 31(3), 345–360. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBM-04-2021-3432>
- Khairani, N., & Haris Muchtar, A. (2024). The influence of destination image and electronic word of mouth (E-WOM) on tourist's intention to visit Keranggan Ecotourism Village. *SocioHumana: Journal of Social Humanities Studies*, 1(1), 01–08. <https://doi.org/10.70063/sociohumana.v1i1.6>
- Ki, C. W. C., Cuevas, L. M., Chong, S. M., & Lim, H. (2020). Influencer marketing: Social media influencers as human brands attaching to followers and yielding positive marketing results by fulfilling needs. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 55, 102133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102133>

- Kowalczyk, C. M., & Pounders, K. (2023). Transforming brands through narrative authenticity. *Journal of Brand Management*, 30(5), 534–549. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41262-022-00301-7>
- Lee, J., & Choi, Y. (2024). The authenticity transfer effect in influencer marketing. *International Journal of Advertising*, 43(1), 53–74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2022.2059023>
- Machi, L. A., & McEvoy, B. T. (2021). *The literature review: Six steps to success* (4th ed.). Corwin.
- Marwick, A. E. (2023). Authenticity in the age of influencer marketing. *New Media & Society*, 25(4), 987–1005. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448221079120>
- Morhart, F. M., & Malär, L. (2023). The role of brand authenticity in consumer decision-making. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 33(1), 112–128. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcpy.1314>
- Napoli, J., Dickinson, S. J., Beverland, M. B., & Farrelly, F. (2014). Measuring consumer-based brand authenticity. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(6), 1090–1098. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2013.06.001>
- Nguyen, B., & Simkin, L. (2024). Gen Z and authenticity in brand communications. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 32(2), 178–196. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0965254X.2022.2052022>
- Nur Ihsan Purwanto, A., Fauzan, M., Widya, T., & Syarof Azzaky, N. (2024). Ethical implications and challenges of AI implementation in business operations. *TechComp Innovations: Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, 1(2), 68–82. <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v1i2.52>
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., ... & Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, 372, n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>
- Rifqi Prasmanto, J., Ma'ruf, A., Fathurrahman Assidiq, M., & Faiz Diyaulhaq, M. (2025). Managing digital economic corridors: International cooperation and regional integration in the digital age. *TechComp Innovations: Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, 2(1), 39–52. <https://doi.org/10.70063/techcompinnovations.v2i1.63>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2023). Self-determination theory in branding: Implications for consumer engagement. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 33(2), 245–260. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcpy.1327>
- Schouten, A. P., Janssen, L., & Verspaget, M. (2020). Celebrity vs. influencer endorsements in advertising: The role of identification, credibility, and product-endorser fit. *International Journal of Advertising*, 39(2), 258–281. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2019.1634898>
- Wang, X., & Li, Y. (2022). Influencer credibility and brand authenticity. *Journal of Business Research*, 146, 37–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.03.029>
- Zhang, L., & Watson, E. M. (2022). Conducting rigorous literature reviews: A methodological guide. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 21, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069221109668>